	Application No. Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	C7/360,947 SIMMER, C. E. Examiner Group Art Unit
	Examiner Group Art Unit
	-JUSEPH MURZAY 1626
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
11) Jei MULZAY	(3) Jak Conzad
(2) Bob Ramsver	(4) Brian Skelten
Date of Interview	_
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) X Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) X applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:	
Claim(s) discussed: 1 - 47	
Identification of prior art discussed: $0.5 \times 0.5 \times 0$	
Agreement with respect to the claims $f(g) = g(g) $	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The 3S U.S.C. 102(f) Rejection in let be Reconsidered in Vight at the S. Reconsidered deale, (C.P.A) at the Application and application, little out the New Roles, e.g. estentially 11/99.	
As to the Provision al ODP, the applicability of this rejection will be Investigated and Periodered.	
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
`	rate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). IAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM	PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE rd of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Mt. And

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 03-98)

Interview Summary

Part of Paper No.